### Arrowhead's Approach to Oligonucleotide Scale-Up Manufacturing

Michael Lawler, Director, Chemistry, Arrowhead Pharmaceuticals Tides USA 2022, May 9th



### Safe Harbor Statement

This presentation contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of the "safe harbor" provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These statements are based upon our current expectations and speak only as of the date hereof. Our actual results may differ materially and adversely from those expressed in any forward-looking statements as a result of various factors and uncertainties, including, without limitation, our developmental stage and limited operating history, our ability to successfully and timely develop products, enter into collaborations and achieve other projected milestones, rapid technological change in our markets, demand for our future products, legislative, regulatory and competitive developments and general economic conditions. Our Annual Report on Form 10-K and other SEC filings discuss some of the important risk factors that may affect our ability to achieve the anticipated results, as well as our business, results of operations and financial condition. Readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements. Additionally, Arrowhead disclaims any intent to update these forward-looking statements to reflect subsequent developments.



### RNA Interference (RNAi) Mechanism



#### Advantages of RNAi

- Silences the expression of disease associated genes
- Potential to address previously "undruggable" targets
- High specificity
- Rapid path from idea to clinical candidate
- Positive record of clinical safety and tolerability

3

### Targeted RNAi Molecules - TRiM<sup>TM</sup> Platform



### TRiM<sup>™</sup> – Targeting the gene, to Silence the disease

- Activity characterized by depth & duration of effect
  - Ability to unlock previously undruggable targets
- Specificity to maximize activity and innate stability with the potential for reduced off-target effects
- **Versatility** in formulation & ligand design offers multiple routes of administration, and access to multiple tissues
  - Facilitates rapid drug development and speed to patients
- **Simplicity** in design translates to relatively lower costs, and production at scale



### Pipeline



### Why Internal Development and Manufacturing?

- Risk mitigation
  - Start developing a target prior to final nomination
  - Early understanding of purity profiles
  - Flexible manufacturing suite scheduling allows for quick reprioritization
- Innovation
  - Arrowhead takes on new chemistries and platforms routinely
  - We need to have internal development if we are to scale-up "firsts" in the RNA field
- Quality
  - Internal development allows us to meet/exceed regulatory guidances
- Speed
  - Accelerate GLP-tox studies
  - Ensure GMP (clinical) material is available at earliest possible time
  - Compress timelines of clinical candidates





### Internal Chemistry Development Teams

- Process development
  - Small molecule development
  - Conjugation development
  - Initial scale-ups
  - New platform development
- RNA development
  - RNA synthesis understanding
  - Scale-down models
  - Early and late-stage yield/purity optimization
  - New platform development
- Manufacturing team
  - New platform development
  - Full engagement with Process and RNA development
  - Two GMP suites capable of rapid scale-ups ranging from 200 g up to multi-kilo deliveries of drug substance
  - Nomination to a first batch can be as rapid as two months!











Presentation Goals: highlight Arrowhead's approach to managing risks in manufacturing through case studies

- Case study 1: challenging cyclopropanation reaction (fixing an intrinsically difficult reaction)
- Case study 2: designing a manufacturing friendly linker (designing around potential issues)
- Case study 3: simple fix to an unstable amidite (designing processes to avoid impurities)



### Cyclopropyl Uridine (CpU) – A Surprisingly Tough Synthetic Target

- Initial route to make target compound resulted in extremely low yields due to poor cyclopropanation
- Vendor A could not make 10 g of CpU amidite
- Vendor B struggled to even produce 100 mg of clean material (requested 20 g on proposal)





Two separate outsourcing campaigns failed to produce any cyclopropanated product



### **Original Synthetic Scheme**



- A proposed 10-step synthesis from 2'-OMe-uridine
- Most of the chemical transformations at 5'-position
- Cyclopropanation was unsuccessful



### In-House Attempts to Cyclopropanate



- Early in-house attempts at cyclopropanation appeared promising
  - Observed formation of new early eluting peak and disappearance of vinyl phosphonate on HPLC
  - LC-MS seemed to show correct mass
  - Vinyl peaks gone in proton NMR
- Critical look at the data
  - Never saw [M]+ ion, only [M]+78
  - Unusually polar, no retention on C18 column.
  - Proton NMR did not show CP protons in correct region (~1 ppm)



# NMR Looks Incorrect





# What Did We Make?

- Mechanistically, DMSO is not only the solvent, but also a leaving group, leading to our +78 mystery mass
- The problem behind the stalled reaction:
  - Vinyl phosphonate underwent 1,4-addition rapidly with nucleophilic sulfur ylide
  - Stabilized intermediate did not convert to product despite heating





### How Can We Fix the Cyclopropanation?

An understanding of the mechanism allowed for possible solutions

- Oxygen can stabilize the negative charge, thus decreasing nucleophilic character of adjacent carbon nucleophile
- Can we tune the electronics of the intermediate to facilitate cyclization?
- Substitute oxygen with a less electronegative sulfur atom



Can a less electronegative sulfur help?



### Synthesizing Sulfur Analogue

- New sulfur ylide is synthesized in a one-pot process
- No precedence in the literature



- Vinyl thiophosphonate (VTP) formed in comparable yield to VP 54% over two steps
- Cyclopropanation of VTP was successful!



### **Reaction Progress – Heated**



- LCMS confirms mass, also [M]+78 no longer seen.
- Observed four cyclopropyl protons in correct region
- Did not detect two critical impurities



### Further Innovation Required for the Final Steps

- Still need to obtain a phosphonate, not thiophosphonate
- Can a "reverse" Lawesson's transformation be performed?



- Oxone is mild, inexpensive and led to a cleaner reaction compared to m-CPBA
- This was reported as the first use Oxone in converting P=S to P=O
- Secondly, we found a way to separate the Cp diastereomers during EtOAc workup



### Characterization of the Major Product

• Molecular weight (HR-MS)



• Absolute configuration (VCD and SCXRD)



Molecular connectivity (full 2D NMR)



### Deprotecting the Phosphonate: TMSI-Pyridine Safety



- Ethyl groups still need to be removed (on-column)
- Leading up to Manufacturing on 32-64 mmol scale we evaluated safety issues for the flow-through TMSI deprotection
  - Balanced equation shows ethyl iodide (alkylator) basic waste stream quenched with methanol
  - Required ~10L of a TMSI/pyridine/ACN mixture
  - What will happen when we combine this hot Lewis acid and base?



# TMSI-Pyridine Safety

### Objective

- Using an EasyMax with HFCal, find the heat of reaction for the addition of pyridine to a mixture of ACN and TMSI
- The final solution composition consists of a 56:10:2 mixture of ACN:pyridine:TMSI

### Findings

- The calculated heat of reaction is 44 kJ/mol for the pyridine addition
- No off-gassing was noted during the pyridine addition
- The calculated change in temperature under adiabatic conditions is 5.59 K; which is very mild and doesn't even require cooling



#### Performed on 64 mmol scale with internal Arrowhead manufacturing team



# CpU Summary

- Original route was not feasible and led to potential program delays
- Mechanistic understanding led to a novel idea allowing a successful cyclopropanation
- <u>1.4 g amidite initially delivered to mid-scale RNA team; new route is currently used to make 4 kg batches of amidite in support of large oligonucleotide campaigns</u>
- The deprotection of the diethyl phosphonate led to interesting heat-flow question prior to scale-up, but ultimately a safe, scalable process
- Overall message: Develop challenging chemistry internally for the best chance of success!



### How to Design a Manufacturing Friendly Linker



Should a target be redesigned to help streamline manufacturing?



# Is this a Friendly Linker?





### Activated Ester Linker Potential Issues

- Where do we see potential issues?
- Potential PNP-ester stability issues
- Need for solution-phase conjugation
- Solution-phase chemistry may necessitate a second purification
- Method needed to detect p-nitro phenol
- Long term this would prove a challenging RSM to justify



Manufacturing Strategy: Can we take advantage of the 5' end and change this into an amidite?



### Amidite Potential Issues

Amidites don't come without risks

- Primary alcohol derived amidites may have stability/synthesis issues (hydrolysis)
- Unknown coupling efficiency
- One extra step to manufacture



Early goal: produce a few hundred grams internally to test out in manufacturing campaign



### Synthetic Route Was Straightforward



1<sup>st</sup> Scale-up campaign 169 g in 3 batches!

#### Risks seem mitigated

- Primary alcohol-derived amidite didn't impact synthesis
- Stable in ACN solution for duration of oligo synthesis
- Concept to scale-up in one month time (from intermediate)
- Extra synthesis step will be worth avoiding solution-phase activated ester if the amidite coupling is efficient



# Linker Coupling/Summary

Initial 0.2 mmol scale:

- 0.2 mmol scale
- 2 equivalents of amidite
- 92% conversion (FLP vs N-1)

Summary:

- Idea through proof of concept took less than 2 months
- Amidite has shown to be an attractive alternative to solution-phase activated ester chemistry
- Fast forward to present day: Amidite is being scaled to multi-kilo batches with crude oligo synthesis purities of ~80% and ~97-99% conversion







### Impurities During Disulfide Scale-Ups

DMTrO

C6-SS-C6 phosphoramidite

- Discovery team relayed the amidite was unstable and should be prepped right before coupling
- This was acceptable for initial scale-ups (32mmol scale)
- Long-term goals:
  - Understand how long we have stability in solution
  - Understand how the amidite is decomposing
  - Try to find a more scale-friendly fix than just-in-time mixing/use



### Can We Measure the Instability?

- Just-in-time mixing of the amidite leads to normal syntheses
- When purposely leaving the amidite in ACN for 24 hours, a new peak shows up in the synthesis and yields are lower
- High risk for a new impurity above qualification limits!
- Very late eluting on RP chromatography
  - Hints of extra "grease" or incorporations of C6 fragments
  - Ranges between 1 and 3 area percent

• Time to look at the actual amidite





### C6-SS-C6 Amidite in CD<sub>3</sub>CN: <sup>31</sup>P NMR



• Even after 1 day, significant decomp.

144h 120h 67.5h 25h 0h

- δ 32.5, 29.5 grow in quickly
- δ 71.5, 7.9 grow in slowly
- δ 33.8, 12.8 don't change much

# C6-SS-C6 Amidite in CD<sub>3</sub>CN: <sup>1</sup>H NMR

- In CD<sub>3</sub>CN: Acrylonitrile grows in significantly
- Leads to a multitude of mechanistic pathways for impurity growth
- We wondered if ACN could be acting as a basic/nucleophilic solvent in the presence of the disulfide
- Next: try non-nucleophilic solvents

![](_page_30_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_6.jpeg)

### NMR Comparison: CD<sub>3</sub>CN vs CDCl<sub>3</sub>

- Over 7 days, CDCl<sub>3</sub> spectra largely unchanged
  - $^{31}\text{P}:$  Slight increase in  $\delta$  ~32, ~30, and ~13 peaks
  - <sup>1</sup>H: One set of DMT (shown) and OMe peaks; can only see acrylonitrile if you zoom in
- Over 7 days, CD<sub>3</sub>CN spectra show significant degradation
  - <sup>31</sup>P: Large  $\delta$  ~32, ~30 peaks
  - <sup>1</sup>H: Two clear sets of DMT and OMe peaks; clearly visible acrylonitrile
- Developing analytics around the decomposition points us towards a long-term solution

![](_page_31_Figure_8.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Figure_9.jpeg)

# Other Solvents: C6-SS-C6 in $CD_2Cl_2$ and $C_6D_6$

- Slightly less stable in  $CD_2Cl_2$ 
  - Small  $\delta$  33 ppm peak grows in
  - DCM isn't a very process friendly solvent

- Toluene: Used  $C_6D_6$  as proxy for NMR expt.
  - Best one yet:  $\delta$  30-35 ppm peaks do not change
  - Still >95% pure in solution after 6 days!
  - Already part of our residual solvents method!

![](_page_32_Figure_8.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_9.jpeg)

### Finalizing the Fix

- With good solubility and stability, a toluene amidite solution was chosen to scale-up 48 mmol syntheses
- Disulfide amidite is now prepped at the same time as all standard amidites without timing constraints
- Impurity risks have been mitigated
- Future work: finalize mechanistic understanding of impurity formation

![](_page_33_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_6.jpeg)

### Tying the Case Studies Together

### Arrowhead manufacturing strategy

- Process chemistry understanding
- Internally develop chemistry across teams
- Understand mechanisms
- Design scalable molecules
- Early manufacturing engagement
- Speed of development enabled by scientific understanding
- <u>Collaboration, innovation and speed are part of our corporate culture</u>

![](_page_34_Picture_9.jpeg)

### Arrowhead Team Thanks!

• CpU

- Erich Altenhofer, Pankaj Kumar, Leo Joyce, Matthew Fowler-Watters, Tao Pei, Zhen Li, Nathan Logic, Josh Pletzke
- Linker Development
  - Erich Altenhofer, Brendan Mowery
- Disulfide
  - Brendan Mowery, Angie Fenrick, Josh Pletzke, Fred Fleitz, Zach Trilling
- Analytical Development, QA, QC, project management
- Arrowhead Senior Management
- Audience

![](_page_35_Picture_10.jpeg)