

ARO-HIF2 Evaluated in Clear Cell Renal Cell Carcinoma, a Ph1b Clinical Trial

DIA/FDA Oligonucleotide-Based Therapeutics Conference

April 25-27 North Bethesda, MD #Oligo22



Disclaimer

The views and opinions expressed in the following PowerPoint slides are those of the individual presenter and should not be attributed to DIA, its directors, officers, employees, volunteers, members, chapters, councils, Communities or affiliates, or any organization with which the presenter is employed or affiliated.

These PowerPoint slides are the intellectual property of the individual presenter and are protected under the copyright laws of the United States of America and other countries. Used by permission. All rights reserved. DIA and the DIA logo are registered trademarks or trademarks of Drug Information Association Inc. All other trademarks are the property of their respective owners.

Safe Harbor Statement

This presentation contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of the "safe harbor" provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These statements are based upon our current expectations and speak only as of the date hereof. Our actual results may differ materially and adversely from those expressed in any forward-looking statements as a result of various factors and uncertainties, including, without limitation, our developmental stage and limited operating history, our ability to successfully and timely develop products, enter into collaborations and achieve other projected milestones, rapid technological change in our markets, demand for our future products, legislative, regulatory and competitive developments and general economic conditions. Our Annual Report on Form 10-K, recent and forthcoming Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, recent Current Reports on Forms 8-K, and other SEC filings discuss some of the important risk factors that may affect our ability to achieve the anticipated results, as well as our business, results of operations and financial condition. Readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements. Additionally, Arrowhead disclaims any intent to update these forward-looking statements to reflect subsequent developments.

Arrowhead Pharmaceuticals: Focused on RNAi



TRiM[™] has rules and algorithms to optimize trigger sequence

- Limit cross reactivity with off target genes while disallowing miRNA homology
- Maximize trigger activity, stability and on target gene silencing



Pipeline: Focus on ARO-HIF2



HIF2-alpha as a target for ccRCC



Riazalhosseini and Lathrop, Nature Reviews Nephrology, 2016

Nature Reviews | Nephrology

- Hypoxia inducible factor-2 alpha (HIF2a) is a transcription factor and key tumorigenic driver of ccRCC
- Normally, HIF2a is expressed at low levels and targeted for degradation by the von Hippel-Lindau (VHL) tumor suppressor protein.
- With VHL inactivation, (often the causative event of ccRCC), accumulation of HIF2a leads to overexpression of downstream target genes (EGFR, VEGF, GLUT1) implicated in cell survival, cell proliferation and angiogenesis.
- Over expression of HIF2a represents a "gain-of-function" scenario ideal for RNAi



ARO-HIF2



- ARO-HIF2 siRNA sequence specifically targets HIF2-alpha mRNA
- Uses small molecule ligand targeting αvβ3/5 integrin receptor on ccRCC
- Uses lipid moiety to prolong circulation time



αvβ3 integrin receptor rationale: ccRCC expression analysis

Table. ITGB3 Expression in Human ccRCC TMA

	Total ccRCC case #	% integrin β3-Positive					
ТМА		Overall	Tumor Grade				- Metastatic
			1	2	3	4	
Vendor 1	77	31.2 (24/77)	29.5 (13/44)	29.6 (8/27)	50 (3/6)	n.a.	50 (1/2)
Vendor 2	20	50 (10/20)	n.a.	37.5 (3/8)	71.4 (5/7)	33.3 (1/3)	50 (2/4)
Vendor 3	34	64.7 (22/34)			n.a.		
Vendor 4	146	68.5 (100/146)	66.7 (30/45)	62.7 (32/51)	62.5 (10/16)	61.1 (11/18)	80 (12/15)

Many, but not all human ccRCC samples express αvβ3 integrin.



αvβ3/5 integrin receptor rationale

ARO-HIF2 tumor delivery

No Ligand



- Overexpressed in many tumors (ccRCC expression confirmed by tumor tissue microarray)
- Minimal delivery to tumor cells without ligand
- HIF2a knockdown improved with ligand

A498 ccRCC orthotopic tumor mouse model

© 2022 DIA, Inc. All rights reserved.

2 mg/kg Cy3-labeled ARO-HIF 4 h after injection Red = ARO-HIF2 Blue = nuclei Green = actin (cytoskeleton)

With Ligand



Additive Effect of Ligand and PK Extension

A498 Tumor Model: Hif2a Expression



Day 1, 7.5 mpk; Day 8 sac

Day 1, 7.5 mpk; Day 8 sac

• Effects of Ligand and PK mod are additive

AROHIF2-1001 Phase 1b Clinical Trial

Objective: evaluate the safety, tolerability, and recommended phase 2 dose based on preliminary efficacy and pharmacodynamic effects



Trial Design:

- Advanced metastatic ccRCC
- Prior failure of TKI and ICI
- Tumor biopsy predose and ~2 weeks post-dose
- Minimum of 6, up to 10 per cohort with at least 4 paired biopsies sufficient for analysis
 - Analysis required tumor cell content of 40% and 5% for qPCR and IHC respectively
- Dose escalate after 28-day DLT period
- Subjects dosed Q1wk at each dose level and continued on drug until toxicity or progression



Baseline Demographics

Mean (min, max)225 mg (N=7)525 mg (N=10)1050 mg (N=9)(N=26)Age (years)68.4 (55, 75)69.5 (63, 87)57.7 (44, 74)65.1 (44, 87)Male (%)6 (86)7 (70)7 (78)20 (77)Weight (kg)81 (67, 104)82 (49, 113)86 (57, 124)83 (49, 124)BMI (kg/cm2)26 (21, 32)26 (17, 33)28 (20, 37)27 (17, 37)IMDC Criteria, n (%)Good Risk1 (14.3)4 (40.0)1 (11.1)6 (23.1)Intermediate Risk5 (71.4)5 (50.0)4 (44.4)14 (53.8)Poor Risk1 (14.3)1 (10.0)2 (22.2)4 (15.4)ECOG, n (%)4 (57.1)4 (40.0)3 (33.3)11 (42.3)	
Age (years) $68.4 (55, 75)$ $69.5 (63, 87)$ $57.7 (44, 74)$ $65.1 (44, 87)$ Male (%) $6 (86)$ $7 (70)$ $7 (78)$ $20 (77)$ Weight (kg) $81 (67, 104)$ $82 (49, 113)$ $86 (57, 124)$ $83 (49, 124)$ BMI (kg/cm2) $26 (21, 32)$ $26 (17, 33)$ $28 (20, 37)$ $27 (17, 37)$ IMDC Criteria, n (%) 600 $1 (14.3)$ $4 (40.0)$ $1 (11.1)$ $6 (23.1)$ Intermediate Risk $5 (71.4)$ $5 (50.0)$ $4 (44.4)$ $14 (53.8)$ Poor Risk $1 (14.3)$ $1 (10.0)$ $2 (22.2)$ $4 (15.4)$ ECOG, n (%) 0 $4 (57.1)$ $4 (40.0)$ $3 (33.3)$ $11 (42.3)$	Mean (min, max)
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Age (years)
Weight (kg)81 (67, 104)82 (49, 113)86 (57, 124)83 (49, 124)BMI (kg/cm2)26 (21, 32)26 (17, 33)28 (20, 37)27 (17, 37)IMDC Criteria, n (%) </td <td>Male (%)</td>	Male (%)
BMI (kg/cm2) 26 (21, 32) 26 (17, 33) 28 (20, 37) 27 (17, 37) IMDC Criteria, n (%) 6000 Risk 1 (14.3) 4 (40.0) 1 (11.1) 6 (23.1) Intermediate Risk 5 (71.4) 5 (50.0) 4 (44.4) 14 (53.8) Poor Risk 1 (14.3) 1 (10.0) 2 (22.2) 4 (15.4) ECOG, n (%) 4 (57.1) 4 (40.0) 3 (33.3) 11 (42.3)	Weight (kg)
IMDC Criteria, n (%) Good Risk 1 (14.3) 4 (40.0) 1 (11.1) 6 (23.1) Intermediate Risk 5 (71.4) 5 (50.0) 4 (44.4) 14 (53.8) Poor Risk 1 (14.3) 1 (10.0) 2 (22.2) 4 (15.4) ECOG, n (%) 4 (40.0) 3 (33.3) 11 (42.3)	BMI (kg/cm2)
Good Risk1 (14.3)4 (40.0)1 (11.1)6 (23.1)Intermediate Risk5 (71.4)5 (50.0)4 (44.4)14 (53.8)Poor Risk1 (14.3)1 (10.0)2 (22.2)4 (15.4)ECOG, n (%)4 (57.1)4 (40.0)3 (33.3)11 (42.3)	IMDC Criteria, n (%)
Intermediate Risk 5 (71.4) 5 (50.0) 4 (44.4) 14 (53.8) Poor Risk 1 (14.3) 1 (10.0) 2 (22.2) 4 (15.4) ECOG, n (%) 4 (57.1) 4 (40.0) 3 (33.3) 11 (42.3)	Good Risk
Poor Risk 1 (14.3) 1 (10.0) 2 (22.2) 4 (15.4) ECOG, n (%) 4 (57.1) 4 (40.0) 3 (33.3) 11 (42.3)	Intermediate Risk
ECOG, n (%) 0 4 (57.1) 4 (40.0) 3 (33.3) 11 (42.3)	Poor Risk
0 4 (57.1) 4 (40.0) 3 (33.3) 11 (42.3)	ECOG, n (%)
	0
1 3 (42.9) 6 (60.0) 6 (66.7) 15 (57.7)	1
>1	>1
Prior lines of therapy, n (%)	Prior lines of therapy, n (%)
1	1
2 2 (28.6) 3 (30.0) 3 (33.3) 8 (30.8)	2
3 2 (28.6) 4 (40.0) 2 (22.2) 8 (30.8)	3
4 1 (14.3) 1 (10.0) 1 (11.1) 3 (11.5)	4
≥5 2 (28.6) 2 (20.0) 3 (33.3) 7 (26.9)	≥5
Prior Therapy, n (%)	Prior Therapy, n (%)
Anti-VEGF 7 (100) 10 (100) 9 (100) 26 (100)	Anti-VEGF
Checkpoint Inhibitor 7 (100) 10 (100) 9 (100) 26 (100)	Checkpoint Inhibitor
VHL mutation status, n (%) **	VHL mutation status, n (%)
Frame shift 2 (28.6) 1 (10.0) 3 (17.6)	Frame shift
Missense 1 (14.3) 4 (40.0)* 5 (29.4)	Missense
In frame deletion 1 (10.0) 1 (5.9)	In frame deletion
No variant 2 (28.6) 2 (11.8)	No variant
Not available 2 (28.6) 4 (40.0) 6 (35.3)	Not available

• 26 total patients enrolled

• ECOG of 0 or 1

- 69% of patients had been on 3 or more prior therapies
- All patients received both anti-PD1 and anti-VEGF therapies
- No VHL LOF mutation required for enrollment. Not all patients had VHL variant.



© 2022 DIA, Inc. All rights reserved. December 1st data cut:

** not all cohort 3 VHL mutation data are available

Safety

Subject Incidence, n (%)	ARO-HIF2 225 mg (N=7)	ARO-HIF2 525 mg (N=10)	ARO-HIF2 1050 mg (N=9)	Total (N=26)
Treatment-emergent AEs* (TEAEs)	7 (100)	10 (100)	8 (88.9)	25 (96.2)
TEAEs by severity Grade 1 or 2 Grade 3 Grade 4 Grade 5	5 (71.4) 1 (14.3) 1 (14.3)	6 (60.0) 3 (30.0) 1 (10.0) 	4 (44.4) 4 (44.4) 	15 (57.7) 8 (30.8) 1 (3.8) 1 (3.8)
Treatment-related TEAEs	5 (71.4)	7 (70.0)	5 (55.6)	17 (65.4)
Treatment-emergent SAE	2 (28.6)	4 (40.0)	3 (33.3)	9 (34.6)
TEAEs leading to treatment discontinuation	1 (14.3)			1 (3.8)
TEAEs in >4 subjects by descending Frequency of PT Fatigue Dizziness Dyspnea	5 (71.4) 1 (14.3) 1 (14.3)	5 (50.0) 4 (40.0) 2 (20.0)	3 (33.3) 2 (22.2) 4 (44.4)	13 (50.0) 7 (26.9) 7 (26.9)
Nausea Abdominal pain (abdominal pain lower, abdominal discomfort, abdominal distention, abdominal pain, abdominal pain upper)	1 (14.3) 1 (14.3)	3 (30.0) 2 (20.0)	2 (22.2) 3 (33.3)	6 (23.1) 6 (23.1)
Neuropathy (Peripheral sensory neuropathy, neuropathy peripheral, demyelinating neuropathy)		4 (40.0)	1 (11.1)	5 (19.2)
Consupation		2 (20.0)	3 (33.3)	5 (19.2)

- Anemia and hypoxia, (frequently reported on-target AEs with small molecule HIF2a inhibitors), were reported in only 12% of patients.
- Peripheral neuropathy reported in several patients
- Five SAEs reported
 - Myocarditis (Hx of TKI induced cardiomyopathy)
 - Demyelinating neuropathy
 - Demyelinating polyradiculoneuropathy
 - Hypoxia (in a patient with lung infiltrate/pneumonia)
 - Acute hypoxemic respiratory failure (in a patient with progressive lung metastasis)



Pharmacodynamics & Efficacy

Median / Mean change (min, max, n)	ARO-HIF2 225 mg (N=7)	ARO-HIF2 525 mg (N=10)	ARO-HIF2 1050 mg (N=9)	Total (N=26)
Hif2a mRNA by qPCR All evaluable (median) All reductions (mean)	-46% (-22, -47, 3) -38% (-22, -47, 3)	-27% (-19, -39, 4) -28% (-19, -39, 4)	-44% (-28, -59, 2) -44% (-28, -59, 2)	-30% (-19, -59, 9) -35% (-19, -59, 9)
HIF2a protein by IHC All evaluable (median)	-26% (500*, -82, 4)	-47% (0, -90, 5)	0% (1700**, -98, 5)	-26% (1700**,)-98,
All reductions (mean)	-45% (-26, -82, 3)	-57% (-9, -90, 4)	-80% (-63, -98, 2)	-58% (-9, -98, 9)
Best Response by RECIST, n (%) Complete response (CR) Partial response (PR) Stable disease (SD) Progressive disease (PD) Not evaluable (NE) Missing	 1 (14.3) 5 (71.4) 1 (14.3)	1 (10.0) 6 (60.0) 2 (20.0) 1 (10.0)	 1 (11.1) 1 (11.1) 6 (66.7) 1 (11.1) 	2 (7.7) 8 (30.8) 13 (50.0) 1 (3.8) 2 (7.7)
Objective Response (CR+PR), n (%)	O (O)	1 (10.0)	1 (11.1)	2 (7.7)
Disease control rate (CR+PR+SD***), n (%)	1 (14.3)	7 (70.0)	2 (22.2)	10 (38.5)

* One patient with no VHL variant detected had a very low baseline H score of 1 that increased to 5 at week 2 (+500%). ** One pt had a very low baseline H score of 5 that increased to 85 at week 2 (+1700%, genotyping not available). IHC H score represents overall staining with a maximum score of 300 (100% of cells at intensity 3, 0% at intensity 0, 1, and 2).

© 2022 DIA, Inc. All rights reserved.

- Not all subjects had tumor VHL mutations.
 - PD response impacted by presence
 of VHL loss-of-function mutation
- Amongst those with PD response (n = 9), mean reductions:
 - In HIF2a mRNA by qPCR of up to 44%
 - In HIF2a protein by IHC of up to **80%** with a dose response
- Objective Response of 7.7% driven by one PR in each of 525 mg and 1050 mg cohort.
- Additional analyses (e.g. correlating integrin receptor density and PD response with RECIST response is ongoing)



Duration of Treatment



- Three patients were treated with ARO-HIF2 for 5 months or more
- 1 patient remained on therapy with stable disease beyond 10 months
- 1 PR on Week 8 CT scan was confirmed at Week 16 visit
- Other patient with a PR stopped study drug due to an SAE



Histological Example: 98% reduction in HIF2a protein (cohort 3)



© 2022 DIA, Inc. All rights reserved.



Conclusion

- HIF2a is a clinically validated driver of ccRCC which can be targeted with a RNAi therapeutic
- This phase 1b study provides initial proof of target engagement based on reductions in HIF2a mRNA and protein
- Clinical proof-of-concept was also established based on partial responses in two patients

Thank you to all the patients, investigators and site staff who participated in this study

